

jeopardy because there are those that deny there is any jeopardy, over the so-called double jeopardy issue reached a compromise, a compromise that will last, according to this thing, for three years. So on a particular day in this Legislature I took the five or six bills involved in the filing council, the central filing system, put them all into one amendment. And on that same day I took the four or five different bills that had been involved in the double jeopardy and put them into an amendment. So 606 has become, as I say, a very complex bill dealing with the entire central filing council issue, and that system, as well as a settlement of the double jeopardy issue. LB 606 was picked because it was the bill that was at the head of the pack and was the one that was the least controversial. That was where we put all these bills into. The amendment, today, does this, rather than go through and explain all those bills I want to explain what this amendment does. It changes something called the reliability date of the computer system. That is the date when a buyer of farm products buys subject to whatever is on the computer system at 8:00 a.m. on any particular morning. It changes that date from January 1, 1986 to September 1, 1988. Why? Well, this extension would make the reliability date coincide precisely with the sunset of the double jeopardy system that we are putting into effect or creating now and give the Secretary of State and the filing council some extra time to fine tune the computer system before people begin totally relying on it. So that is what the amendment does. It amends the double jeopardy solution by taking out the language in the Pappas amendment, a very small matter but just something so that we get it cleared up. You may remember Senator Pappas put an amendment on that said the Department of Agriculture had to post a big sign up somewhere. Well, we are going to change that just a little. Instead of doing it that way, the amendment requires the buyers to make their own notices and spells out the exact wording which the buyers must use. It also strikes that portion of the bill which would require county clerks to forward information concerning old liens to the Secretary of State for insertion in the computer. You may remember you received calls, I'm sure every single member did, from your county clerk or county official saying we can't get this done, this is an incredible burden, we can't get it done in time. So we've added a provision to require the filing council to come up with a plan to get that work done. That plan has to be done by December 1, 1985, on how this